

# VulScan

# Internal Vulnerability Scan Issues by Device



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The information contained in this report document is for the exclusive use of the client specified above and may contain confidential, privileged and non-disclosable information. If the recipient of this report is not the client or addressee, such recipient is strictly prohibited from reading, photocopying, distributing or otherwise using this report or its contents in any way.

Prepared for: Client Company Prepared by: YourIT Company 05/04/2022



# **Table of Contents**

Summary Details 02 2.1 176.16.1.57 (00:17:4G:01:07:B6) 2.2 176.16.1.58 (00:17:4G:01:07:CC) 2.3 176.16.1.59 (00:17:4G:01:07:C3) 2.4 176.16.1.64 (00:17:4G:01:07:C5) 2.5 176.16.1.65 (00:17:4G:01:07:C6) 2.6 176.16.1.67 (00:17:4G:01:07:C8) 2.7 176.16.1.70 (B0:26:28:B6:DC:4F) 2.8 deskpc-0o3l5bq.myco.com (176.16.1.73 / 00:17:4G:01:08:38) 2.9 sql03.myco.com (176.16.1.107 / 00:17:4G:01:07:32) 2.10 176.16.1.147 (B0:26:28:B6:C6:4C) 2.11 176.16.1.148 (B0:26:28:B6:DC:4E) 2.12 176.16.1.156 (00:17:4G:01:07:7B) 2.13 deskpc-f6ckerg.myco.com (176.16.1.171 / 00:17:4G:01:08:02) 2.14 176.16.1.178 (00:17:4G:01:07:94) 2.15 win-tatvg3rem1k.myco.com (176.16.1.211 / 00:17:4G:01:07:B3) 2.16 deskpc-07rd86g.myco.com (176.16.1.213 / 00:17:4G:01:08:31) 2.17 176.16.1.219 (00:17:4G:01:08:32) 2.18 176.16.1.54 (00:17:4G:01:07:BE) 2.19 dctrlr01.myco.com (176.16.1.12 / 00:17:4G:01:07:19) 2.20 dctrlr02.myco.com (176.16.1.13 / 00:17:4G:01:07:1A) 2.21 appsvr01.myco.com (176.16.1.14 / 00:17:4G:01:07:18)

2.22 exchsvr01.myco.com (176.16.1.15 / 00:17:4G:01:07:24) 2.23 fsvr01.myco.com (176.16.1.16 / 00:17:4G:01:07:1B)

2.24 sql01.myco.com (176.16.1.17 / 00:17:4G:01:07:1D)

2.25 176.16.1.71 (F4:8E:38:20:FD:F4)

PAGE 2 OF 70



2.26 176.16.1.108 (00:17:4G:01:08:0C)
2.27 176.16.1.185 (00:17:4G:01:08:0E)
2.28 176.16.1.186 (00:17:4G:01:08:11)
2.29 176.16.1.188 (00:17:4G:01:08:1D)
2.30 176.16.1.189 (00:17:4G:01:08:14)
2.31 176.16.1.208 (00:17:4G:01:07:A0)



# 1 - Summary

This report gives details on hosts that were tested and issues that were found.



### **Results Filter**

Scan Date Range:	04/03/2022 - 05/03/2022
CVSS Filter:	Low (1.0+)
Scan Type:	Internal

### **Components Scanned**

IP Address	Hostname	MAC Address
176.16.1.12	dctrlr01.myco.com	00:17:4G:01:07:19
176.16.1.13	dctrlr02.myco.com	00:17:4G:01:07:1A
176.16.1.14	appsvr01.myco.com	00:17:4G:01:07:18
176.16.1.15	exchsvr01.myco.com	00:17:4G:01:07:24
176.16.1.16	fsvr01.myco.com	00:17:4G:01:07:1B
176.16.1.17	sql01.myco.com	00:17:4G:01:07:1D
176.16.1.54		00:17:4G:01:07:BE
176.16.1.57		00:17:4G:01:07:B6
176.16.1.58		00:17:4G:01:07:CC
176.16.1.59		00:17:4G:01:07:C3

PAGE 4 OF 70



IP Address	Hostname	MAC Address
176.16.1.64		00:17:4G:01:07:C5
176.16.1.65		00:17:4G:01:07:C6
176.16.1.67		00:17:4G:01:07:C8
176.16.1.70		B0:26:28:B6:DC:4F
176.16.1.71		F4:8E:38:20:FD:F4
176.16.1.73	deskpc-0o3l5bq.myco.com	00:17:4G:01:08:38
176.16.1.107	sql03.myco.com	00:17:4G:01:07:32
176.16.1.108		00:17:4G:01:08:0C
176.16.1.147		B0:26:28:B6:C6:4C
176.16.1.148		B0:26:28:B6:DC:4E
176.16.1.156		00:17:4G:01:07:7B
176.16.1.171	deskpc-f6ckerq.myco.com	00:17:4G:01:08:02
176.16.1.178		00:17:4G:01:07:94
176.16.1.185		00:17:4G:01:08:0E
176.16.1.186		00:17:4G:01:08:11
176.16.1.188		00:17:4G:01:08:1D
176.16.1.189		00:17:4G:01:08:14
176.16.1.208		00:17:4G:01:07:A0
176.16.1.211	winpc-tatvq3rem1k.myco.com	00:17:4G:01:07:B3
176.16.1.213	deskpc-07rd86g.myco.com	00:17:4G:01:08:31
176.16.1.219		00:17:4G:01:08:32



# 2 - Scan Details

# 2.1 - 176.16.1.57 (00:17:4G:01:07:B6)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.57 (00:17:4G:01:07:B6)	2	2	2	1	7.5

# Listening Ports

PORT

22/tcp (ssh), 0/NA

### Security Issues

### HIGH (CVSS: 7.5) NVT: DIFFIE-HELLMAN EPHEMERAL KEY EXCHANGE DOS VULNERABILITY (SSH, D(HE)ATER) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839)

#### Summary

The remote SSH server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange (KEX) algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

The remote SSH server supports the following DHE KEX algorithm(s):diffie-hellman-group14-sha1diffie-hellman-group14-sha512diffie-hellman-group18-sha512diffie-hellman-group18-sha512diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha256

#### Solution

- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For OpenSSH this limit can be configured via the 'MaxStartups' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS



Vulnerability (SSH, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater



#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a privilege scalation vulnerability in certain configurations.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 8.1Fixed version: 8.8Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

Update to version 8.8 or later.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

sshd failed to correctly initialise supplemental groups when executing an AuthorizedKeysCommand or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand, where a AuthorizedKeysCommandUser or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommandUser directive has been set to run the command as a different user. Instead these commands would inherit the groups that sshd was started with. Depending on system configuration, inherited groups may allow AuthorizedKeysCommand/AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand helper programs to gain unintended privilege. Neither AuthorizedKeysCommand nor AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand are enabled by default in sshd\_config.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 Privilege Escalation Vulnerability(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696)Version used: 2021-10-11T08:01:31Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.1Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://www.openssh.com/txt/release-8.8



MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.9) NVT: OPENBSD OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2020-14145) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117785)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 8.1Fixed version: 8.5Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

Update to version 8.5 or later.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The client side in OpenSSH has an Observable Discrepancy leading to an information leak in the algorithm negotiation. This allows man-in-the-middle attackers to target initial connection attempts (where no host key for the server has been cached by the client).

PAGE 7 OF 70



#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenBSD OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2020-14145)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117785)Version used: 2021-11-22T14:03:31Z

#### Product Detection Result

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.1Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

http://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2020/12/02/1



#### MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3)

NVT: OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2016-20012) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 8.1Fixed version: NoneInstallationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

No known solution is available as of 16th November, 2021. Information regarding this issue will be updated once solution details are available. Note: This issue is not treated as a security issue by the vendor so no update might be provided in the future.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

OpenSSH allows remote attackers, who have a suspicion that a certain combination of username and public key is known to an SSH server, to test whether this suspicion is correct. This occurs because a challenge is sent only when that combination could be valid for a login session.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2016-20012)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)Version used: 2021-11-16T14:03:35Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.1Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://github.com/openssh/openssh-portable/pull/270,https://rushter.com/blog/public-ssh-keys/,https://utcc.utoronto.ca/~cks/space/blog/tech/SSHKeysAreInfoLeak



0/NA

#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 1458897013 Packet 2: 1458898145

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the



settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.2 - 176.16.1.58 (00:17:4G:01:07:CC)

# Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.58 (00:17:4G:01:07:CC)	4	5	2	1	7.5

# Listening Ports

PORT

81/tcp, 80/tcp (http), 22/tcp (ssh), 0/NA

# Security Issues



NVT: NGINX <= 1.21.1 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117523) 81/TCP,80/ TCP (HTTP)

#### Summary

nginx is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

HIGH (CVSS: 7.5)

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 1.18.0Fixed version: NoneInstallationpath / port: 81/tcp

#### Solution

No known solution is available as of 12th August, 2021. Information regarding this issue will be updated once solution details are available.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The default configuration of nginx uses world-readable permissions for the access.log and error.log files, which allows local



users to obtain sensitive information by reading the files.

HIGH (CVSS: 7.5)

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:nginx <= 1.21.1 Information Disclosure Vulnerability(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117523)Version used: 2021-08-12T09:00:13Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:nginx:nginx:1.18.0Method: nginx Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.113787)

#### References

https://trac.nginx.org/nginx/ticket/376

H

NVT: DIFFIE-HELLMAN EPHEMERAL KEY EXCHANGE DOS VULNERABILITY (SSH, D(HE)ATER) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839) 22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

The remote SSH server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange (KEX) algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

The remote SSH server supports the following DHE KEX algorithm(s):diffie-hellman-group14-sha256diffie-hellman-group16-sha512diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha256

#### Solution

- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For OpenSSH this limit can be configured via the 'MaxStartups' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSH, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater



HIGH (CVSS: 7.1) NVT: OPENSSH 8.2 < 8.5 MEMORY CORRUPTION VULNERABILITY (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.145538)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a memory corruption vulnerability in the ssh-agent.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

PAGE 10 OF 70



Installed version: 8.2p1Fixed version: 8.5Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

Update to version 8.5 or later.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

ssh-agent in OpenSSH has a double free that may be relevant in a few less-common scenarios, such as unconstrained agentsocket access on a legacy operating system, or the forwarding of an agent to an attacker-controlled host.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 8.2 < 8.5 Memory Corruption Vulnerability(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.145538)Version used: 2021-08-17T12:00:57Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.2p1Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://www.openssh.com/txt/release-8.5



#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a privilege scalation vulnerability in certain configurations.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 8.2p1Fixed version: 8.8Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

Update to version 8.8 or later.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

sshd failed to correctly initialise supplemental groups when executing an AuthorizedKeysCommand or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand, where a AuthorizedKeysCommandUser or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommandUser directive has been set to run the command as a different user. Instead these commands would inherit the groups that sshd was started with. Depending on system configuration, inherited groups may allow AuthorizedKeysCommand/AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand helper programs to gain unintended privilege. Neither AuthorizedKeysCommand nor AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand are enabled by default in sshd\_config.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 Privilege Escalation Vulnerability(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696)Version used: 2021-10-11T08:01:31Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.2p1Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://www.openssh.com/txt/release-8.8



MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.9)

NVT: OPENBSD OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2020-14145) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117785) 22/TCP (SSH)

PAGE 11 OF 70



OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 8.2p1Fixed version: 8.5Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

Update to version 8.5 or later.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The client side in OpenSSH has an Observable Discrepancy leading to an information leak in the algorithm negotiation. This allows man-in-the-middle attackers to target initial connection attempts (where no host key for the server has been cached by the client).

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenBSD OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2020-14145)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117785)Version used: 2021-11-22T14:03:31Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.2p1Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

http://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2020/12/02/1



22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 8.2p1Fixed version: NoneInstallationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

No known solution is available as of 16th November, 2021. Information regarding this issue will be updated once solution details are available. Note: This issue is not treated as a security issue by the vendor so no update might be provided in the future.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

OpenSSH allows remote attackers, who have a suspicion that a certain combination of username and public key is known to an SSH server, to test whether this suspicion is correct. This occurs because a challenge is sent only when that combination could be valid for a login session.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2016-20012)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)Version used: 2021-11-16T14:03:35Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.2p1Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://github.com/openssh/openssh-portable/pull/270,https://rushter.com/blog/public-ssh-keys/,https://utcc.utoronto.ca/~cks/space/blog/tech/SSHKeysAreInfoLeak



PAGE 12 OF 70



The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 1682412026Packet 2: 1682413151

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.3 - 176.16.1.59 (00:17:4G:01:07:C3)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.59 (00:17:4G:01:07:C3)	2	2	8	1	7.5

### Listening Ports

PORT

22/tcp (ssh), 0/NA

HF	HIGH (CVSS: 7.5) NVT: DIFFIE-HELLMAN EPHEMERAL KEY EXCHANGE DOS VULNERABILITY (SSH, D(HE)ATER) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839)		22/TCP (SSH)
		P/	AGE 13 OF 70



The remote SSH server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange (KEX) algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

The remote SSH server supports the following DHE KEX algorithm(s):diffie-hellman-group1-sha1diffie-hellman-group14-sha256diffie-hellman-group16-sha512diffie-hellman-group18-sha512diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha256

#### Solution

- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For OpenSSH this limit can be configured via the 'MaxStartups' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSH, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater



#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a privilege scalation vulnerability in certain configurations.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 7.4Fixed version: 8.8Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

Update to version 8.8 or later.

#### Vulnerability Insight

sshd failed to correctly initialise supplemental groups when executing an AuthorizedKeysCommand or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand, where a AuthorizedKeysCommandUser or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommandUser directive has been set to run the command as a different user. Instead these commands would inherit the groups that sshd was started with. Depending on system configuration, inherited groups may allow AuthorizedKeysCommand/AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand helper programs to gain unintended privilege. Neither AuthorizedKeysCommand nor AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand are enabled by default in sshd\_config.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 Privilege Escalation Vulnerability(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696)Version used: 2021-10-11T08:01:31Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:7.4Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)



#### References

https://www.openssh.com/txt/release-8.8



#### MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.9)

NVT: OPENBSD OPENSSH <= 7.9 MULTIPLE VULNERABILITIES (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117786) 22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to multiple vulnerabilities.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 7.4Fixed version: 8.0Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

Update to version 8.0 or later.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The following flaws exist: - CVE-2018-20685: bypass of intended access restrictions in the scp client - CVE-2019-6109, CVE-2019-6110: manipulation of the output in the scp client by a malicious server - CVE-2019-6111: overwrite of arbitrary files in the scp client by a malicious server

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenBSD OpenSSH <= 7.9 Multiple Vulnerabilities(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117786)Version used: 2021-11-22T14:03:31Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:7.4Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://sintonen.fi/advisories/scp-client-multiple-vulnerabilities.txt,http://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2019/04/18/1

M

#### MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.9) NVT: OPENBSD OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2020-14145) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117785)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 7.4Fixed version: 8.5Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

Update to version 8.5 or later.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The client side in OpenSSH has an Observable Discrepancy leading to an information leak in the algorithm negotiation. This allows man-in-the-middle attackers to target initial connection attempts (where no host key for the server has been cached by the client).

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenBSD OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2020-14145)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117785)Version used: 2021-11-22T14:03:31Z



#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:7.4Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

http://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2020/12/02/1



## MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3)

NVT: OPENSSH SFTP-SERVER SECURITY BYPASS VULNERABILITY (LINUX) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.812051) 22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

openssh is prone to a security bypass vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 7.4Fixed version: 7.6Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Impact

Successfully exploiting this issue allows local users to bypass certain security restrictions and perform unauthorized actions. This may lead to further attacks.

#### Solution

Upgrade to OpenSSH version 7.6 or later.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The flaw exists in the 'process\_open' function in sftp-server.c script which does not properly prevent write operations in readonly mode.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 'sftp-server' Security Bypass Vulnerability (Linux)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.812051)Version used: 2022-04-13T11:57:07Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:7.4Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://www.openssh.com/txt/release-7.6,https://github.com/openbsd/src/commit/a6981567e8e



MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3) NVT: OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2016-20012) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 7.4Fixed version: NoneInstallationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

No known solution is available as of 16th November, 2021. Information regarding this issue will be updated once solution details are available. Note: This issue is not treated as a security issue by the vendor so no update might be provided in the future.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

OpenSSH allows remote attackers, who have a suspicion that a certain combination of username and public key is known to an SSH server, to test whether this suspicion is correct. This occurs because a challenge is sent only when that combination could be valid for a login session.



#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2016-20012)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)Version used: 2021-11-16T14:03:35Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:7.4Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://github.com/openssh/openssh-portable/pull/270,https://rushter.com/blog/public-ssh-keys/,https://utcc.utoronto.ca/~cks/space/blog/tech/SSHKeysAreInfoLeak



#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a user enumeration vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 7.4Fixed version: 7.8Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3)

#### Impact

Successfully exploitation will allow remote attacker to test whether a certain user exists or not (username enumeration) on a target OpenSSH server.

#### Solution

Update to version 7.8 or later.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The flaw is due to not delaying bailout for an invalid authenticating user until after the packet containing the request has been fully parsed, related to auth2-gss.c, auth2-hostbased.c, and auth2-pubkey.c

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH < 7.8 User Enumeration Vulnerability - Linux(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.813864)Version used: 2021-10-11T09:46:29Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:7.4Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://0day.city/cve-2018-15473.html,https://github.com/openbsd/src/commit/779974d35b4859c07bc3cb8a12c74b43b0a7d1e0



NVT: WEAK KEY EXCHANGE (KEX) ALGORITHM(S) SUPPORTED (SSH) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.150713)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak key exchange (KEX) algorithm(s).

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

#### Impact



An attacker can quickly break individual connections.

#### Solution

Disable the reported weak KEX algorithm(s) - 1024-bit MODP group / prime KEX algorithms: Alternatively use elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellmann in general, e.g. Curve 25519.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

'- 1024-bit MODP group / prime KEX algorithms: Millions of HTTPS, SSH, and VPN servers all use the same prime numbers for Diffie-Hellman key exchange. Practitioners believed this was safe as long as new key exchange messages were generated for every connection. However, the first step in the number field sieve-the most efficient algorithm for breaking a Diffie-Hellman connection-is dependent only on this prime. A nation-state can break a 1024-bit prime.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server. Currently weak KEX algorithms are defined as the following: non-elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellmann (DH) KEX algorithms with 1024-bit MODP group / prime - ephemerally generated key exchange groups uses SHA-1 - using RSA 1024-bit modulus keyDetails:Weak Key Exchange (KEX) Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.150713)Version used: 2021-11-24T06:31:19Z

#### References

https://weakdh.org/sysadmin.html,https://tools.ietf.org/id/draft-ietf-curdle-ssh-kex-sha2-09.html,https://tools.ietf.org/id/draft-ietf-curdle-ssh-kex-sha2-09.html#rfc.section.5,https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc6194



MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3) NVT: OPENSSH AUTH2-GSS.C USER ENUMERATION VULNERABILITY - LINUX (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.813888)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a user enumeration vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 7.4Fixed version: NoneInstallationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Impact

Successfully exploitation will allow a remote attacker to harvest valid user accounts, which may aid in brute-force attacks.

#### Solution

No known solution was made available for at least one year since the disclosure of this vulnerability. Likely none will be provided anymore. General solution options are to upgrade to a newer release, disable respective features, remove the product or replace the product by another one.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The flaw exists in the 'auth-gss2.c' source code file of the affected software and is due to insufficient validation of an authentication request packet when the Guide Star Server II (GSS2) component is used on an affected system.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 'auth2-gss.c' User Enumeration Vulnerability - Linux(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.813888)Version used: 2021-05-28T07:06:21Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:7.4Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://bugzilla.novell.com/show\_bug.cgi?id=1106163,https://seclists.org/oss-sec/2018/q3/180





### MEDIUM (CVSS: 4.3)

NVT: WEAK ENCRYPTION ALGORITHM(S) SUPPORTED (SSH) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105611)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak encryption algorithm(s).

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

The remote SSH server supports the following weak client-to-server encryption algorithm(s):3des-cbcaes128-cbcaes192-cbcaes256-cbcblowfish-cbccast128-cbcThe remote SSH server supports the following weak server-to-client encryption algorithm(s):3des-cbcaes128-cbcaes192-cbcaes256-cbcblowfish-cbccast128-cbc

#### Solution

Disable the reported weak encryption algorithm(s).

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

'- The 'arcfour' cipher is the Arcfour stream cipher with 128-bit keys. The Arcfour cipher is believed to be compatible with the RC4 cipher [SCHNEIER]. Arcfour (and RC4) has problems with weak keys, and should not be used anymore. - The 'none' algorithm specifies that no encryption is to be done. Note that this method provides no confidentiality protection, and it is NOT RECOMMENDED to use it. - A vulnerability exists in SSH messages that employ CBC mode that may allow an attacker to recover plaintext from a block of ciphertext.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported encryption algorithms (client-to-server and server-to-client) of the remote SSH server. Currently weak encryption algorithms are defined as the following: - Arcfour (RC4) cipher based algorithms - none algorithm - CBC mode cipher based algorithmsDetails:Weak Encryption Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105611)Version used: 2021-09-20T08:25:27Z

#### References

https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4253#section-6.3,https://www.kb.cert.org/vuls/id/958563



0/NA

#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 1180653861 Packet 2: 1180654997

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used:



2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.4 - 176.16.1.64 (00:17:4G:01:07:C5)

## Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.64 (00:17:4G:01:07:C5)	2	2	1	1	7.5

# Listening Ports

#### PORT

22/tcp (ssh), 0/NA

# Security Issues

### HIGH (CVSS: 7.5) NVT: DIFFIE-HELLMAN EPHEMERAL KEY EXCHANGE DOS VULNERABILITY (SSH, D(HE)ATER) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839)

#### Summary

The remote SSH server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange (KEX) algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

The remote SSH server supports the following DHE KEX algorithm(s):diffie-hellman-group14-sha256diffie-hellman-group16-sha512diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha256

#### Solution

- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For OpenSSH this limit can be configured via the 'MaxStartups' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.



#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSH, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater

H

HIGH (CVSS: 7) NVT: OPENSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 PRIVILEGE ESCALATION VULNERABILITY (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a privilege scalation vulnerability in certain configurations.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 8.4p1Fixed version: 8.8Installationpath / port:

#### Solution

Update to version 8.8 or later.

#### Vulnerability Insight

sshd failed to correctly initialise supplemental groups when executing an AuthorizedKeysCommand or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand, where a AuthorizedKeysCommandUser or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommandUser directive has been set to run the command as a different user. Instead these commands would inherit the groups that sshd was started with. Depending on system configuration, inherited groups may allow AuthorizedKeysCommand/AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand helper programs to gain unintended privilege. Neither AuthorizedKeysCommand nor AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand are enabled by default in sshd\_config.

22/tcp

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 Privilege Escalation Vulnerability(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696)Version used: 2021-10-11T08:01:31Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.4p1Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://www.openssh.com/txt/release-8.8



NVT: OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2016-20012) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3)

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 8.4p1Fixed version: NoneInstallationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

No known solution is available as of 16th November, 2021. Information regarding this issue will be updated once solution details are available. Note: This issue is not treated as a security issue by the vendor so no update might be provided in the future.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

OpenSSH allows remote attackers, who have a suspicion that a certain combination of username and public key is known to an



SSH server, to test whether this suspicion is correct. This occurs because a challenge is sent only when that combination could be valid for a login session.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2016-20012)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)Version used: 2021-11-16T14:03:35Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.4p1Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://github.com/openssh/openssh-portable/pull/270,https://rushter.com/blog/public-ssh-keys/,https://utcc.utoronto.ca/~cks/space/blog/tech/SSHKeysAreInfoLeak



0/NA

#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 3054012296 Packet 2: 3054013448

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.5 - 176.16.1.65 (00:17:4G:01:07:C6)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.65 (00:17:4G:01:07:C6)	2	2	1	1	7.5



# Listening Ports

#### PORT

22/tcp (ssh), 0/NA

# Security Issues

HIGH (CVSS: 7.5)

NVT: DIFFIE-HELLMAN EPHEMERAL KEY EXCHANGE DOS VULNERABILITY (SSH, D(HE)ATER) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839) 22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

The remote SSH server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange (KEX) algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

The remote SSH server supports the following DHE KEX algorithm(s):diffie-hellman-group14-sha256diffie-hellman-group16-sha512diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha256

#### Solution

- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For OpenSSH this limit can be configured via the 'MaxStartups' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSH, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater



#### HIGH (CVSS: 7) NVT: OPENSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 PRIVILEGE ESCALATION VULNERABILITY (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a privilege scalation vulnerability in certain configurations.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

PAGE 23 OF 70



Installed version: 8.7Fixed version: 8.8Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

Update to version 8.8 or later.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

sshd failed to correctly initialise supplemental groups when executing an AuthorizedKeysCommand or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand, where a AuthorizedKeysCommandUser or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommandUser directive has been set to run the command as a different user. Instead these commands would inherit the groups that sshd was started with. Depending on system configuration, inherited groups may allow AuthorizedKeysCommand/AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand helper programs to gain unintended privilege. Neither AuthorizedKeysCommand nor AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand are enabled by default in sshd\_config.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 Privilege Escalation Vulnerability(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696)Version used: 2021-10-11T08:01:31Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.7Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://www.openssh.com/txt/release-8.8

MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3) NVT: OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2016-20012) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777) 22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 8.7Fixed version: NoneInstallationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

No known solution is available as of 16th November, 2021. Information regarding this issue will be updated once solution details are available. Note: This issue is not treated as a security issue by the vendor so no update might be provided in the future.

#### Vulnerability Insight

OpenSSH allows remote attackers, who have a suspicion that a certain combination of username and public key is known to an SSH server, to test whether this suspicion is correct. This occurs because a challenge is sent only when that combination could be valid for a login session.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2016-20012)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)Version used: 2021-11-16T14:03:35Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.7Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://github.com/openssh/openssh-portable/pull/270,https://rushter.com/blog/public-ssh-keys/,https://utcc.utoronto.ca/~cks/space/blog/tech/SSHKeysAreInfoLeak



NVT: TCP TIMESTAMPS (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)

0/NA

PAGE 24 OF 70

LOW (CVSS: 2.6)



The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323.The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:Packet 1: 645972405Packet 2: 645973525

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.6 - 176.16.1.67 (00:17:4G:01:07:C8)

# Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.67 (00:17:4G:01:07:C8)	2	2	4	1	7.5

# Listening Ports

PORT

22/tcp (ssh), 0/NA

### Security Issues



PAGE 25 OF 70



The remote SSH server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange (KEX) algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

The remote SSH server supports the following DHE KEX algorithm(s):diffie-hellman-group14-sha1diffie-hellman-group14-sha256diffie-hellman-group16-sha512diffie-hellman-group18-sha512diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1diffie-hellman-groupe-exchange-sha256

#### Solution

- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For OpenSSH this limit can be configured via the 'MaxStartups' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSH, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater



NVT: OPENSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 PRIVILEGE ESCALATION VULNERABILITY (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696) 22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a privilege scalation vulnerability in certain configurations.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 8.0Fixed version: 8.8Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

HIGH (CVSS: 7)

**Solution** Update to version 8.8 or later.

#### Vulnerability Insight

sshd failed to correctly initialise supplemental groups when executing an AuthorizedKeysCommand or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand, where a AuthorizedKeysCommandUser or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommandUser directive has been set to run the command as a different user. Instead these commands would inherit the groups that sshd was started with. Depending on system configuration, inherited groups may allow AuthorizedKeysCommand/AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand helper programs to gain unintended privilege. Neither AuthorizedKeysCommand nor AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand are enabled by default in sshd\_config.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 Privilege Escalation Vulnerability(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696)Version used: 2021-10-11T08:01:31Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.0Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)



#### References

https://www.openssh.com/txt/release-8.8

#### MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.9)

#### NVT: OPENBSD OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2020-14145) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117785)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 8.0Fixed version: 8.5Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

Update to version 8.5 or later.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The client side in OpenSSH has an Observable Discrepancy leading to an information leak in the algorithm negotiation. This allows man-in-the-middle attackers to target initial connection attempts (where no host key for the server has been cached by the client).

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenBSD OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2020-14145)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117785)Version used: 2021-11-22T14:03:31Z

#### Product Detection Result

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.0Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

http://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2020/12/02/1

MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3) NVT: WEAK KEY EXCHANGE (KEX) ALGORITHM(S) SUPPORTED (SSH) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.150713)	22/TC (SSH)
--	----------------

#### Summary

The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak key exchange (KEX) algorithm(s).

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

The remote SSH server supports the following weak KEX algorithm(s):KEX algorithm ------diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1 | Using SHA-1

#### Impact

An attacker can quickly break individual connections.

#### Solution

Disable the reported weak KEX algorithm(s) - 1024-bit MODP group / prime KEX algorithms: Alternatively use elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellmann in general, e.g. Curve 25519.

#### Vulnerability Insight

- 1024-bit MODP group / prime KEX algorithms: Millions of HTTPS, SSH, and VPN servers all use the same prime numbers for Diffie-Hellman key exchange. Practitioners believed this was safe as long as new key exchange messages were generated for every connection. However, the first step in the number field sieve-the most efficient algorithm for breaking a Diffie-Hellman connection-is dependent only on this prime. A nation-state can break a 1024-bit prime.

| Reason-----



#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server. Currently weak KEX algorithms are defined as the following: non-elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellmann (DH) KEX algorithms with 1024-bit MODP group / prime - ephemerally generated key exchange groups uses SHA-1 - using RSA 1024-bit modulus keyDetails:Weak Key Exchange (KEX) Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.150713)Version used: 2021-11-24T06:31:19Z

#### References

https://weakdh.org/sysadmin.html,https://tools.ietf.org/id/draft-ietf-curdle-ssh-kex-sha2-09.html,https://tools.ietf.org/id/draft-ietf-curdle-ssh-kex-sha2-09.html/rfc.section.5,https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc6194



MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3) NVT: OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2016-20012) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 8.0Fixed version: NoneInstallationpath / port:

#### Solution

No known solution is available as of 16th November, 2021. Information regarding this issue will be updated once solution details are available. Note: This issue is not treated as a security issue by the vendor so no update might be provided in the future.

22/tcp

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

OpenSSH allows remote attackers, who have a suspicion that a certain combination of username and public key is known to an SSH server, to test whether this suspicion is correct. This occurs because a challenge is sent only when that combination could be valid for a login session.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2016-20012)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)Version used: 2021-11-16T14:03:35Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.0Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://github.com/openssh/openssh-portable/pull/270,https://rushter.com/blog/public-ssh-keys/,https://utcc.utoronto.ca/~cks/space/blog/tech/SSHKeysAreInfoLeak



MEDIUM (CVSS: 4.3)

NVT: WEAK ENCRYPTION ALGORITHM(S) SUPPORTED (SSH) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105611)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak encryption algorithm(s).

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

The remote SSH server supports the following weak client-to-server encryption algorithm(s):aes128-cbcaes256-cbcThe remote SSH server supports the following weak server-to-client encryption algorithm(s):aes128-cbcaes256-cbc

#### Solution

Disable the reported weak encryption algorithm(s).

#### Vulnerability Insight



'- The 'arcfour' cipher is the Arcfour stream cipher with 128-bit keys. The Arcfour cipher is believed to be compatible with the RC4 cipher [SCHNEIER]. Arcfour (and RC4) has problems with weak keys, and should not be used anymore. - The 'none' algorithm specifies that no encryption is to be done. Note that this method provides no confidentiality protection, and it is NOT RECOMMENDED to use it. - A vulnerability exists in SSH messages that employ CBC mode that may allow an attacker to recover plaintext from a block of ciphertext.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported encryption algorithms (client-to-server and server-to-client) of the remote SSH server. Currently weak encryption algorithms are defined as the following: - Arcfour (RC4) cipher based algorithms - none algorithm - CBC mode cipher based algorithmsDetails:Weak Encryption Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.105611)Version used: 2021-09-20T08:25:27Z

#### References

https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4253#section-6.3,https://www.kb.cert.org/vuls/id/958563



Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 2892023837 Packet 2: 2892024949

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.7 - 176.16.1.70 (B0:26:28:B6:DC:4F)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.70 (B0:26:28:B6:DC:4F)	1	1	1	0	7.5

PAGE 29 OF 70



# **Listening Ports**

PORT

3389/tcp

# Security Issues

HIGH (CVSS: 7.5)



NVT: DIFFIE-HELLMAN EPHEMERAL KEY EXCHANGE DOS VULNERABILITY (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ATER) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840)

3389/TCP

#### Summary

The remote SSL/TLS server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

'DHE' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

#### Solution

'- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For Postfix this limit can be configured via 'smtpd\_client\_new\_tls\_session\_rate\_limit' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported cipher suites of the remote SSL/TLS server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater



#### Summary

It was possible to detect the usage of the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocol on this system.



#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

In addition to TLSv1.2+ the service is also providing the deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 protocols and supports one or more ciphers. Those supported ciphers can be found in the 'SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites' (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.802067) VT.

#### Impact

An attacker might be able to use the known cryptographic flaws to eavesdrop the connection between clients and the service to get access to sensitive data transferred within the secured connection. Furthermore newly uncovered vulnerabilities in this protocols won't receive security updates anymore.

#### Solution

It is recommended to disable the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols in favor of the TLSv1.2+ protocols. Please see the references for more information.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 protocols contain known cryptographic flaws like: - CVE-2011-3389: Browser Exploit Against SSL/TLS (BEAST) - CVE-2015-0204: Factoring Attack on RSA-EXPORT Keys Padding Oracle On Downgraded Legacy Encryption (FREAK)

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Check the used TLS protocols of the services provided by this system.Details:SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117274)Version used: 2021-07-19T08:11:48Z

#### References

https://ssl-

config.mozilla.org/,https://bettercrypto.org/,https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc8996/,https://vnhacker.blogspot.com/2011/09/beast. html,https://web.archive.org/web/20201108095603/https://censys.io/blog/freak,https://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/algorith ms-key-size-and-parameters-report-2014

# 2.8 - deskpc-0o3l5bq.myco.com (176.16.1.73 / 00:17:4G:01:08:38)

# Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
deskpc-0o3l5bq.myco.com (176.16.1.73 / 00:17:4G:01:08:38)	1	1	1	0	7.5

# Listening Ports

#### PORT

3389/tcp





NVT: DIFFIE-HELLMAN EPHEMERAL KEY EXCHANGE DOS VULNERABILITY (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ATER) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840)

3389/TCP

#### Summary

The remote SSL/TLS server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

HIGH (CVSS: 7.5)

'DHE' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

#### Solution

'- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For Postfix this limit can be configured via 'smtpd\_client\_new\_tls\_session\_rate\_limit' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported cipher suites of the remote SSL/TLS server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater



MEDIUM (CVSS: 4.3) NVT: SSL/TLS: DEPRECATED TLSV1.0 AND TLSV1.1 PROTOCOL DETECTION (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117274)

3389/TCP

#### Summary

It was possible to detect the usage of the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocol on this system.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

In addition to TLSv1.2+ the service is also providing the deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 protocols and supports one or more ciphers. Those supported ciphers can be found in the 'SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites' (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.802067) VT.

#### Impact

An attacker might be able to use the known cryptographic flaws to eavesdrop the connection between clients and the service to get access to sensitive data transferred within the secured connection. Furthermore newly uncovered vulnerabilities in this protocols won't receive security updates anymore.

#### Solution

It is recommended to disable the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols in favor of the TLSv1.2+ protocols. Please see the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight



The TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 protocols contain known cryptographic flaws like: - CVE-2011-3389: Browser Exploit Against SSL/TLS (BEAST) - CVE-2015-0204: Factoring Attack on RSA-EXPORT Keys Padding Oracle On Downgraded Legacy Encryption (FREAK)

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Check the used TLS protocols of the services provided by this system.Details:SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117274)Version used: 2021-07-19T08:11:48Z

#### References

#### https://ssl-

config.mozilla.org/,https://bettercrypto.org/,https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc8996/,https://vnhacker.blogspot.com/2011/09/beast. html,https://web.archive.org/web/20201108095603/https://censys.io/blog/freak,https://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/algorith ms-key-size-and-parameters-report-2014

# 2.9 - sql03.myco.com (176.16.1.107 / 00:17:4G:01:07:32)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
sql03.myco.com (176.16.1.107 / 00:17:4G:01:07:32)	1	1	0	0	7.5

# Listening Ports

PORT

3389/tcp

### Security Issues



NVT: DIFFIE-HELLMAN EPHEMERAL KEY EXCHANGE DOS VULNERABILITY (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ATER) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840)

3389/TCP

#### Summary

The remote SSL/TLS server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

'DHE' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

#### Solution

- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret



should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For Postfix this limit can be configured via 'smtpd\_client\_new\_tls\_session\_rate\_limit' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported cipher suites of the remote SSL/TLS server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840) Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater

# 2.10 - 176.16.1.147 (B0:26:28:B6:C6:4C)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.147 (B0:26:28:B6:C6:4C)	1	1	0	0	7.5

# **Listening Ports**

PORT

3389/tcp

### Security Issues

HIGH (CVSS: 7.5) NVT: DIFFIE-HELLMAN EPHEMERAL KEY EXCHANGE DOS VULNERABILITY (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ATER) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840)

3389/TCP

#### Summary

The remote SSL/TLS server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

'DHE' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

#### Solution



'- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For Postfix this limit can be configured via 'smtpd\_client\_new\_tls\_session\_rate\_limit' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported cipher suites of the remote SSL/TLS server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater

# 2.11 - 176.16.1.148 (B0:26:28:B6:DC:4E)

## Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.148 (B0:26:28:B6:DC:4E)	1	1	0	0	7.5

# Listening Ports

PORT

3389/tcp

### Security Issues



#### HIGH (CVSS: 7.5) NVT: DIFFIE-HELLMAN EPHEMERAL KEY EXCHANGE DOS VULNERABILITY (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ATER) (OID:

3389/TCP

#### Summary

The remote SSL/TLS server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

'DHE' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840)



#### Solution

- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For Postfix this limit can be configured via 'smtpd\_client\_new\_tls\_session\_rate\_limit' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported cipher suites of the remote SSL/TLS server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater

# 2.12 - 176.16.1.156 (00:17:4G:01:07:7B)

## Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.156 (00:17:4G:01:07:7B)	2	2	7	1	7.5

# Listening Ports

PORT

22/tcp (ssh), 0/NA

### Security Issues



#### Summary

The remote SSH server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange (KEX) algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

The remote SSH server supports the following DHE KEX algorithm(s):diffie-hellman-group1-sha1diffie-hellman-group14-



sha1diffie-hellman-group14-sha256diffie-hellman-group16-sha512diffie-hellman-group18-sha512diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha1diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha256

#### Solution

- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For OpenSSH this limit can be configured via the 'MaxStartups' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSH, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater



NVT: OPENSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 PRIVILEGE ESCALATION VULNERABILITY (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696) 22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a privilege scalation vulnerability in certain configurations.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 7.4Fixed version: 8.8Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

HIGH (CVSS: 7)

#### Solution

Update to version 8.8 or later.

#### Vulnerability Insight

sshd failed to correctly initialise supplemental groups when executing an AuthorizedKeysCommand or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand, where a AuthorizedKeysCommandUser or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommandUser directive has been set to run the command as a different user. Instead these commands would inherit the groups that sshd was started with. Depending on system configuration, inherited groups may allow AuthorizedKeysCommand/AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand helper programs to gain unintended privilege. Neither AuthorizedKeysCommand nor AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand are enabled by default in sshd\_config.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 Privilege Escalation Vulnerability(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696)Version used: 2021-10-11T08:01:31Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:7.4Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://www.openssh.com/txt/release-8.8





#### MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.9) NVT: OPENBSD OPENSSH <= 7.9 MULTIPLE VULNERABILITIES (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117786)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to multiple vulnerabilities.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 7.4Fixed version: 8.0Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

Update to version 8.0 or later.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The following flaws exist: - CVE-2018-20685: bypass of intended access restrictions in the scp client - CVE-2019-6109, CVE-2019-6110: manipulation of the output in the scp client by a malicious server - CVE-2019-6111: overwrite of arbitrary files in the scp client by a malicious server

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenBSD OpenSSH <= 7.9 Multiple Vulnerabilities(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117786)Version used: 2021-11-22T14:03:31Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:7.4Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://sintonen.fi/advisories/scp-client-multiple-vulnerabilities.txt,http://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2019/04/18/1

# 

NVT: OPENBSD OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2020-14145) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117785) 22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 7.4Fixed version: 8.5Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

Update to version 8.5 or later.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The client side in OpenSSH has an Observable Discrepancy leading to an information leak in the algorithm negotiation. This allows man-in-the-middle attackers to target initial connection attempts (where no host key for the server has been cached by the client).

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenBSD OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2020-14145)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117785)Version used: 2021-11-22T14:03:31Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:7.4Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

http://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2020/12/02/1





#### MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3) NVT: OPENSSH < 7.8 USER ENUMERATION VULNERABILITY -LINUX (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.813864)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a user enumeration vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 7.4Fixed version: 7.8Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Impact

Successfully exploitation will allow remote attacker to test whether a certain user exists or not (username enumeration) on a target OpenSSH server.

#### Solution

Update to version 7.8 or later.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The flaw is due to not delaying bailout for an invalid authenticating user until after the packet containing the request has been fully parsed, related to auth2-gss.c, auth2-hostbased.c, and auth2-pubkey.c

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH < 7.8 User Enumeration Vulnerability - Linux(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.813864)Version used: 2021-10-11T09:46:29Z

#### Product Detection Result

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:7.4Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://0day.city/cve-2018-15473.html,https://github.com/openbsd/src/commit/779974d35b4859c07bc3cb8a12c74b43b0a7d1e0

	V				
--	---	--	--	--	--

MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3) NVT: OPENSSH SFTP-SERVER SECURITY BYPASS VULNERABILITY (LINUX) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.812051)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

openssh is prone to a security bypass vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 7.4Fixed version: 7.6Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Impact

Successfully exploiting this issue allows local users to bypass certain security restrictions and perform unauthorized actions. This may lead to further attacks.

#### Solution

Upgrade to OpenSSH version 7.6 or later.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The flaw exists in the 'process\_open' function in sftp-server.c script which does not properly prevent write operations in readonly mode.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 'sftp-server' Security Bypass Vulnerability (Linux)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.812051)Version used: 2022-04-13T11:57:07Z



#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:7.4Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://www.openssh.com/txt/release-7.6,https://github.com/openbsd/src/commit/a6981567e8e

MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3) NVT: WEAK KEY EXCHANGE (KEX) ALGORITHM(S) SUPPORTED (SSH) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.150713)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

The remote SSH server is configured to allow / support weak key exchange (KEX) algorithm(s).

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

#### Impact

An attacker can quickly break individual connections.

#### Solution

Disable the reported weak KEX algorithm(s) - 1024-bit MODP group / prime KEX algorithms: Alternatively use elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellmann in general, e.g. Curve 25519.

#### Vulnerability Insight

- 1024-bit MODP group / prime KEX algorithms: Millions of HTTPS, SSH, and VPN servers all use the same prime numbers for Diffie-Hellman key exchange. Practitioners believed this was safe as long as new key exchange messages were generated for every connection. However, the first step in the number field sieve-the most efficient algorithm for breaking a Diffie-Hellman connection-is dependent only on this prime. A nation-state can break a 1024-bit prime.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server. Currently weak KEX algorithms are defined as the following: non-elliptic-curve Diffie-Hellmann (DH) KEX algorithms with 1024-bit MODP group / prime - ephemerally generated key exchange groups uses SHA-1 - using RSA 1024-bit modulus keyDetails:Weak Key Exchange (KEX) Algorithm(s) Supported (SSH)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.150713)Version used: 2021-11-24T06:31:19Z

#### References

https://weakdh.org/sysadmin.html,https://tools.ietf.org/id/draft-ietf-curdle-ssh-kex-sha2-09.html,https://tools.ietf.org/id/draft-ietf-curdle-ssh-kex-sha2-09.html#rfc.section.5,https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc6194



#### MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3) NVT: OPENSSH AUTH2-GSS.C USER ENUMERATION VULNERABILITY - LINUX (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.813888)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a user enumeration vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 7.4Fixed version: NoneInstallationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Impact

Successfully exploitation will allow a remote attacker to harvest valid user accounts, which may aid in brute-force attacks.

#### Solution



No known solution was made available for at least one year since the disclosure of this vulnerability. Likely none will be provided anymore. General solution options are to upgrade to a newer release, disable respective features, remove the product or replace the product by another one.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The flaw exists in the 'auth-gss2.c' source code file of the affected software and is due to insufficient validation of an authentication request packet when the Guide Star Server II (GSS2) component is used on an affected system.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 'auth2-gss.c' User Enumeration Vulnerability - Linux(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.813888)Version used: 2021-05-28T07:06:21Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:7.4Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://bugzilla.novell.com/show\_bug.cgi?id=1106163,https://seclists.org/oss-sec/2018/q3/180



### MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3)

NVT: OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2016-20012) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 7.4Fixed version: NoneInstallationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

No known solution is available as of 16th November, 2021. Information regarding this issue will be updated once solution details are available. Note: This issue is not treated as a security issue by the vendor so no update might be provided in the future.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

OpenSSH allows remote attackers, who have a suspicion that a certain combination of username and public key is known to an SSH server, to test whether this suspicion is correct. This occurs because a challenge is sent only when that combination could be valid for a login session.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2016-20012)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)Version used: 2021-11-16T14:03:35Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:7.4Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://github.com/openssh/openssh-portable/pull/270,https://rushter.com/blog/public-ssh-keys/,https://utcc.utoronto.ca/~cks/space/blog/tech/SSHKeysAreInfoLeak



#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result



It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 1027203671 Packet 2: 1027204815

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.13 - deskpc-f6ckerq.myco.com (176.16.1.171 / 00:17:4G:01:08:02)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
deskpc-f6ckerq.myco.com (176.16.1.171 / 00:17:4G:01:08:02)	1	1	0	0	7.5

# Listening Ports

#### PORT

3389/tcp

### Security Issues

HF	HIGH (CVSS: 7.5) NVT: DIFFIE-HELLMAN EPHEMERAL KEY EXCHANGE DOS VULNERABILITY (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ATER) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840)	3389/TCP

PAGE 42 OF 70



The remote SSL/TLS server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

'DHE' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

#### Solution

'- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For Postfix this limit can be configured via 'smtpd\_client\_new\_tls\_session\_rate\_limit' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported cipher suites of the remote SSL/TLS server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol, https://github.com/Balasys/dheater

# 2.14 - 176.16.1.178 (00:17:4G:01:07:94)

## Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.178 (00:17:4G:01:07:94)	2	2	1	1	7.5

### **Listening Ports**

PORT

22/tcp (ssh), 0/NA

HF	HIGH (CVSS: 7.5) NVT: DIFFIE-HELLMAN EPHEMERAL KEY EXCHANGE DOS VULNERABILITY (SSH, D(HE)ATER) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839)		22/TCP (SSH)
		PA	AGE 43 OF 70



The remote SSH server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange (KEX) algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

The remote SSH server supports the following DHE KEX algorithm(s):diffie-hellman-group14-sha256diffie-hellman-group16-sha512diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha256

#### Solution

- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For OpenSSH this limit can be configured via the 'MaxStartups' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSH, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater



NVT: OPENSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 PRIVILEGE ESCALATION VULNERABILITY (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696) 22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a privilege scalation vulnerability in certain configurations.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 8.6Fixed version: 8.8Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

HIGH (CVSS: 7)

#### Solution

Update to version 8.8 or later.

#### Vulnerability Insight

sshd failed to correctly initialise supplemental groups when executing an AuthorizedKeysCommand or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand, where a AuthorizedKeysCommandUser or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommandUser directive has been set to run the command as a different user. Instead these commands would inherit the groups that sshd was started with. Depending on system configuration, inherited groups may allow AuthorizedKeysCommand/AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand helper programs to gain unintended privilege. Neither AuthorizedKeysCommand nor AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand are enabled by default in sshd\_config.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 Privilege Escalation Vulnerability(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696)Version used: 2021-10-11T08:01:31Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.6Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)



#### References

https://www.openssh.com/txt/release-8.8



#### MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3)

NVT: OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2016-20012) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777) 22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 8.6Fixed version: NoneInstallationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

No known solution is available as of 16th November, 2021. Information regarding this issue will be updated once solution details are available. Note: This issue is not treated as a security issue by the vendor so no update might be provided in the future.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

OpenSSH allows remote attackers, who have a suspicion that a certain combination of username and public key is known to an SSH server, to test whether this suspicion is correct. This occurs because a challenge is sent only when that combination could be valid for a login session.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2016-20012)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)Version used: 2021-11-16T14:03:35Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.6Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://github.com/openssh/openssh-portable/pull/270,https://rushter.com/blog/public-ssh-keys/,https://utcc.utoronto.ca/~cks/space/blog/tech/SSHKeysAreInfoLeak



#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 2560593265Packet 2: 2560594370

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.



#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/fc/rfc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.15 - winpc-tatvq3rem1k.myco.com (176.16.1.211 / 00:17:4G:01:07:B3)

## Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
winpc-tatvq3rem1k.myco.com (176.16.1.211 / 00:17:4G:01:07:B3)	1	1	1	0	7.5

# **Listening Ports**

POR1

3389/tcp

### Security Issues



#### Summary

The remote SSL/TLS server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

'DHE' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

#### Solution

'- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For Postfix this limit can be configured via 'smtpd\_client\_new\_tls\_session\_rate\_limit' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### Vulnerability Insight

PAGE 46 OF 70



The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported cipher suites of the remote SSL/TLS server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater

MEDIUM (CVSS: 4.3)



NVT: SSL/TLS: DEPRECATED TLSV1.0 AND TLSV1.1 PROTOCOL DETECTION (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117274)

3389/TCP

#### Summary

It was possible to detect the usage of the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocol on this system.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

In addition to TLSv1.2+ the service is also providing the deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 protocols and supports one or more ciphers. Those supported ciphers can be found in the 'SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites' (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.802067) VT.

#### Impact

An attacker might be able to use the known cryptographic flaws to eavesdrop the connection between clients and the service to get access to sensitive data transferred within the secured connection. Furthermore newly uncovered vulnerabilities in this protocols won't receive security updates anymore.

#### Solution

It is recommended to disable the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols in favor of the TLSv1.2+ protocols. Please see the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 protocols contain known cryptographic flaws like: - CVE-2011-3389: Browser Exploit Against SSL/TLS (BEAST) - CVE-2015-0204: Factoring Attack on RSA-EXPORT Keys Padding Oracle On Downgraded Legacy Encryption (FREAK)

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Check the used TLS protocols of the services provided by this system.Details:SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117274)Version used: 2021-07-19T08:11:48Z

#### References

#### https://ssl-

config.mozilla.org/,https://bettercrypto.org/,https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc8996/,https://vnhacker.blogspot.com/2011/09/beast. html,https://web.archive.org/web/20201108095603/https://censys.io/blog/freak,https://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/algorith ms-key-size-and-parameters-report-2014



### Host Issue Summary

PAGE 47 OF 70



HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
deskpc-07rd86g.myco.com (176.16.1.213 / 00:17:4G:01:08:31)	1	1	1	0	7.5

# Listening Ports

PORT

3389/tcp

### Security Issues



HIGH (CVSS: 7.5) NVT: DIFFIE-HELLMAN EPHEMERAL KEY EXCHANGE DOS VULNERABILITY (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ATER) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840)

3389/TCP

#### Summary

The remote SSL/TLS server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

'DHE' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256TLS\_DHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384

#### Solution

'- DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For Postfix this limit can be configured via 'smtpd\_client\_new\_tls\_session\_rate\_limit' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported cipher suites of the remote SSL/TLS server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSL/TLS, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117840)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater





#### MEDIUM (CVSS: 4.3)

NVT: SSL/TLS: DEPRECATED TLSV1.0 AND TLSV1.1 PROTOCOL DETECTION (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117274)

3389/TCP

#### Summary

It was possible to detect the usage of the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocol on this system.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

In addition to TLSv1.2+ the service is also providing the deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 protocols and supports one or more ciphers. Those supported ciphers can be found in the 'SSL/TLS: Report Supported Cipher Suites' (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.802067) VT.

#### Impact

An attacker might be able to use the known cryptographic flaws to eavesdrop the connection between clients and the service to get access to sensitive data transferred within the secured connection. Furthermore newly uncovered vulnerabilities in this protocols won't receive security updates anymore.

#### Solution

It is recommended to disable the deprecated TLSv1.0 and/or TLSv1.1 protocols in favor of the TLSv1.2+ protocols. Please see the references for more information.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 protocols contain known cryptographic flaws like: - CVE-2011-3389: Browser Exploit Against SSL/TLS (BEAST) - CVE-2015-0204: Factoring Attack on RSA-EXPORT Keys Padding Oracle On Downgraded Legacy Encryption (FREAK)

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Check the used TLS protocols of the services provided by this system.Details:SSL/TLS: Deprecated TLSv1.0 and TLSv1.1 Protocol Detection(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117274)Version used: 2021-07-19T08:11:48Z

#### References

https://ssl-

config.mozilla.org/,https://bettercrypto.org/,https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc8996/,https://vnhacker.blogspot.com/2011/09/beast. html,https://web.archive.org/web/20201108095603/https://censys.io/blog/freak,https://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/algorith ms-key-size-and-parameters-report-2014

# 2.17 - 176.16.1.219 (00:17:4G:01:08:32)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.219 (00:17:4G:01:08:32)	1	3	2	0	7.5

## **Listening Ports**

POR1

22/tcp (ssh)



## Security Issues



#### HIGH (CVSS: 7.5)

NVT: DIFFIE-HELLMAN EPHEMERAL KEY EXCHANGE DOS VULNERABILITY (SSH, D(HE)ATER) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839) 22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

The remote SSH server is supporting Diffie-Hellman ephemeral (DHE) Key Exchange (KEX) algorithms and thus could be prone to a denial of service (DoS) vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

The remote SSH server supports the following DHE KEX algorithm(s):diffie-hellman-group14-sha256diffie-hellman-group16-sha512diffie-hellman-group-exchange-sha256

#### Solution

<sup>-</sup> DHE key exchange should be disabled if no other mitigation mechanism can be used and either elliptic-curve variant of Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or RSA key exchange is supported by the clients. The fact that RSA key exchange is not forward secret should be considered. - Limit the maximum number of concurrent connections in e.g. the configuration of the remote server. For OpenSSH this limit can be configured via the 'MaxStartups' option, for other products please refer to the manual of the product in question on configuration possibilities.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement Protocol allows remote attackers (from the client side) to send arbitrary numbers that are actually not public keys, and trigger expensive server-side DHE modular-exponentiation calculations, aka a D(HE)ater attack. The client needs very little CPU resources and network bandwidth. The attack may be more disruptive in cases where a client can require a server to select its largest supported key size. The basic attack scenario is that the client must claim that it can only communicate with DHE, and the server must be configured to allow DHE.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the supported KEX algorithms of the remote SSH server.Details:Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Key Exchange DoS Vulnerability (SSH, D(HE)ater)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117839)Version used: 2021-12-17T14:03:21Z

#### References

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anton-Stiglic-2/publication/2401745\_Security\_Issues\_in\_the\_Diffie-Hellman\_Key\_Agreement\_Protocol,https://github.com/Balasys/dheater



HIGH (CVSS: 7.1) NVT: OPENSSH 8.2 < 8.5 MEMORY CORRUPTION VULNERABILITY (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.145538)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a memory corruption vulnerability in the ssh-agent.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 8.2p1Fixed version: 8.5Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

Update to version 8.5 or later.

#### Vulnerability Insight

ssh-agent in OpenSSH has a double free that may be relevant in a few less-common scenarios, such as unconstrained agentsocket access on a legacy operating system, or the forwarding of an agent to an attacker-controlled host.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method



Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 8.2 < 8.5 Memory Corruption Vulnerability(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.145538)Version used: 2021-08-17T12:00:57Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.2p1Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://www.openssh.com/txt/release-8.5

HIGH (CVSS: 7)

H

#### NVT: OPENSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 PRIVILEGE ESCALATION VULNERABILITY (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenSSH is prone to a privilege scalation vulnerability in certain configurations.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 8.2p1Fixed version: 8.8Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

Update to version 8.8 or later.

#### Vulnerability Insight

sshd failed to correctly initialise supplemental groups when executing an AuthorizedKeysCommand or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand, where a AuthorizedKeysCommandUser or AuthorizedPrincipalsCommandUser directive has been set to run the command as a different user. Instead these commands would inherit the groups that sshd was started with. Depending on system configuration, inherited groups may allow AuthorizedKeysCommand/AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand helper programs to gain unintended privilege. Neither AuthorizedKeysCommand nor AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand are enabled by default in sshd\_config.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH 6.2 <= 8.7 Privilege Escalation Vulnerability(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117696)Version used: 2021-10-11T08:01:31Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.2p1Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://www.openssh.com/txt/release-8.8



#### MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.9)

NVT: OPENBSD OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2020-14145) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117785) 22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Installed version: 8.2p1Fixed version: 8.5Installationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### **Solution** Update to version 8.5 or later.



#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The client side in OpenSSH has an Observable Discrepancy leading to an information leak in the algorithm negotiation. This allows man-in-the-middle attackers to target initial connection attempts (where no host key for the server has been cached by the client).

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenBSD OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2020-14145)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117785)Version used: 2021-11-22T14:03:31Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.2p1Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

http://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2020/12/02/1



MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3)

NVT: OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2016-20012) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)

22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 8.2p1Fixed version: NoneInstallationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

No known solution is available as of 16th November, 2021. Information regarding this issue will be updated once solution details are available. Note: This issue is not treated as a security issue by the vendor so no update might be provided in the future.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

OpenSSH allows remote attackers, who have a suspicion that a certain combination of username and public key is known to an SSH server, to test whether this suspicion is correct. This occurs because a challenge is sent only when that combination could be valid for a login session.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host. Details: OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2016-20012) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777) Version used: 2021-11-16T14:03:35Z

#### **Product Detection Result**

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.2p1Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://github.com/openssh/openssh-portable/pull/270,https://rushter.com/blog/public-ssh-keys/,https://utcc.utoronto.ca/~cks/space/blog/tech/SSHKeysAreInfoLeak

# 2.18 - 176.16.1.54 (00:17:4G:01:07:BE)

## Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.54 (00:17:4G:01:07:BE)	2	0	1	1	5.3

PAGE 52 OF 70



# Listening Ports

#### PORT

22/tcp (ssh), 0/NA

# Security Issues

Μ

NVT: OPENSSH INFORMATION DISCLOSURE VULNERABILITY (CVE-2016-20012) (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777) 22/TCP (SSH)

#### Summary

OpenBSD OpenSSH is prone to an information disclosure vulnerability.

MEDIUM (CVSS: 5.3)

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

Installed version: 8.8Fixed version: NoneInstallationpath / port: 22/tcp

#### Solution

No known solution is available as of 16th November, 2021. Information regarding this issue will be updated once solution details are available. Note: This issue is not treated as a security issue by the vendor so no update might be provided in the future.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

OpenSSH allows remote attackers, who have a suspicion that a certain combination of username and public key is known to an SSH server, to test whether this suspicion is correct. This occurs because a challenge is sent only when that combination could be valid for a login session.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks if a vulnerable version is present on the target host.Details:OpenSSH Information Disclosure Vulnerability (CVE-2016-20012)(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.117777)Version used: 2021-11-16T14:03:35Z

#### Product Detection Result

Product: cpe:/a:openbsd:openssh:8.8Method: OpenSSH Detection Consolidation(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108577)

#### References

https://github.com/openssh/openssh-portable/pull/270,https://rushter.com/blog/public-ssh-keys/,https://utcc.utoronto.ca/~cks/space/blog/tech/SSHKeysAreInfoLeak



NVT: TCP TIMESTAMPS (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)

0/NA

#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 1180590663Packet 2: 1180591792

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.



#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.19 - dctrlr01.myco.com (176.16.1.12 / 00:17:4G:01:07:19)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
dctrlr01.myco.com (176.16.1.12 / 00:17:4G:01:07:19)	1	0	1	0	5.0

# Listening Ports

PORT

3389/tcp

### Security Issues



#### Summary

This routine reports all Weak SSL/TLS cipher suites accepted by a service. NOTE: No severity for SMTP services with 'Opportunistic TLS' and weak cipher suites on port 25/tcp is reported. If too strong cipher suites are configured for this service the alternative would be to fall back to an even more insecure cleartext communication.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.0



protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.1 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA

#### Solution

The configuration of this services should be changed so that it does not accept the listed weak cipher suites anymore. Please see the references for more resources supporting you with this task.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

These rules are applied for the evaluation of the cryptographic strength: - RC4 is considered to be weak (CVE-2013-2566, CVE-2015-2808) - Ciphers using 64 bit or less are considered to be vulnerable to brute force methods and therefore considered as weak (CVE-2015-4000) - 1024 bit RSA authentication is considered to be insecure and therefore as weak - Any cipher considered to be secure for only the next 10 years is considered as medium - Any other cipher is considered as strong

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Details:SSL/TLS: Report Weak Cipher Suites(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103440)Version used: 2021-12-01T13:10:37Z

#### References

https://www.bsi.bund.de/SharedDocs/Warnmeldungen/DE/CB/warnmeldung\_cb-k16-1465\_update\_6.html,https://bettercrypto.org/,https://mozilla.github.io/server-side-tls/ssl-config-generator/

# 2.20 - dctrlr02.myco.com (176.16.1.13 / 00:17:4G:01:07:1A)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
dctrlr02.myco.com (176.16.1.13 / 00:17:4G:01:07:1A)	1	0	1	0	5.0

# Listening Ports

POR

3389/tcp

### Security Issues

MEDIUM (CVSS: 5) NVT: SSL/TLS: REPORT WEAK CIPHER SUITES (OID: 3389/TCP 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103440)

#### Summary

This routine reports all Weak SSL/TLS cipher suites accepted by a service. NOTE: No severity for SMTP services with 'Opportunistic TLS' and weak cipher suites on port 25/tcp is reported. If too strong cipher suites are configured for this service the alternative would be to fall back to an even more insecure cleartext communication.



#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.0 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.1 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_RSA\_SHA'WEAK' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_RSA\_SHA'WEAK' cipher suites accepted by this service vi

#### Solution

The configuration of this services should be changed so that it does not accept the listed weak cipher suites anymore. Please see the references for more resources supporting you with this task.

#### Vulnerability Insight

These rules are applied for the evaluation of the cryptographic strength: - RC4 is considered to be weak (CVE-2013-2566, CVE-2015-2808) - Ciphers using 64 bit or less are considered to be vulnerable to brute force methods and therefore considered as weak (CVE-2015-4000) - 1024 bit RSA authentication is considered to be insecure and therefore as weak - Any cipher considered to be secure for only the next 10 years is considered as medium - Any other cipher is considered as strong

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Details:SSL/TLS: Report Weak Cipher Suites(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103440)Version used: 2021-12-01T13:10:37Z

References

https://www.bsi.bund.de/SharedDocs/Warnmeldungen/DE/CB/warnmeldung\_cb-k16-1465\_update\_6.html,https://bettercrypto.org/,https://mozilla.github.io/server-side-tls/ssl-config-generator/

# 2.21 - appsvr01.myco.com (176.16.1.14 / 00:17:4G:01:07:18)

## Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
appsvr01.myco.com (176.16.1.14 / 00:17:4G:01:07:18)	1	0	1	0	5.0

# Listening Ports

POR

3389/tcp

### Security Issues

MEDIUM (CVSS: 5) NVT: SSL/TLS: REPORT WEAK CIPHER SUITES (OID: 3389/TCP 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103440)

#### Summary

This routine reports all Weak SSL/TLS cipher suites accepted by a service. NOTE: No severity for SMTP services with 'Opportunistic TLS' and weak cipher suites on port 25/tcp is reported. If too strong cipher suites are configured for this service

PAGE 56 OF 70



the alternative would be to fall back to an even more insecure cleartext communication.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.0

protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.1 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher s

#### Solution

The configuration of this services should be changed so that it does not accept the listed weak cipher suites anymore. Please see the references for more resources supporting you with this task.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

These rules are applied for the evaluation of the cryptographic strength: - RC4 is considered to be weak (CVE-2013-2566, CVE-2015-2808) - Ciphers using 64 bit or less are considered to be vulnerable to brute force methods and therefore considered as weak (CVE-2015-4000) - 1024 bit RSA authentication is considered to be insecure and therefore as weak - Any cipher considered to be secure for only the next 10 years is considered as medium - Any other cipher is considered as strong

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Details:SSL/TLS: Report Weak Cipher Suites(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103440)Version used: 2021-12-01T13:10:37Z

#### References

https://www.bsi.bund.de/SharedDocs/Warnmeldungen/DE/CB/warnmeldung\_cb-k16-1465\_update\_6.html,https://bettercrypto.org/,https://mozilla.github.io/server-side-tls/ssl-config-generator/

# 2.22 - exchsvr01.myco.com (176.16.1.15 / 00:17:4G:01:07:24)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
exchsvr01.myco.com (176.16.1.15 / 00:17:4G:01:07:24)	2	0	2	1	5.0

# Listening Ports

PORT

3389/tcp, 0/NA

### Security Issues

MEDIUM (CVSS: 5) NVT: SSL/TLS: REPORT WEAK CIPHER SUITES (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103440)

#### Summary

PAGE 57 OF 70



This routine reports all Weak SSL/TLS cipher suites accepted by a service. NOTE: No severity for SMTP services with 'Opportunistic TLS' and weak cipher suites on port 25/tcp is reported. If too strong cipher suites are configured for this service the alternative would be to fall back to an even more insecure cleartext communication.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.0

protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.1 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA

#### Solution

The configuration of this services should be changed so that it does not accept the listed weak cipher suites anymore. Please see the references for more resources supporting you with this task.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

These rules are applied for the evaluation of the cryptographic strength: - RC4 is considered to be weak (CVE-2013-2566, CVE-2015-2808) - Ciphers using 64 bit or less are considered to be vulnerable to brute force methods and therefore considered as weak (CVE-2015-4000) - 1024 bit RSA authentication is considered to be insecure and therefore as weak - Any cipher considered to be secure for only the next 10 years is considered as medium - Any other cipher is considered as strong

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Details:SSL/TLS: Report Weak Cipher Suites(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103440)Version used: 2021-12-01T13:10:37Z

#### References

https://www.bsi.bund.de/SharedDocs/Warnmeldungen/DE/CB/warnmeldung\_cb-k16-1465\_update\_6.html,https://bettercrypto.org/,https://mozilla.github.io/server-side-tls/ssl-config-generator/



#### Summary

The SSL/TLS service uses Diffie-Hellman groups with insufficient strength (key size < 2048).

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Server Temporary Key Size: 1024 bits

#### Impact

An attacker might be able to decrypt the SSL/TLS communication offline.

#### Solution

Deploy (Ephemeral) Elliptic-Curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or use a 2048-bit or stronger Diffie-Hellman group (see the references). For Apache Web Servers: Beginning with version 2.4.7, mod\_ssl will use DH parameters which include primes with lengths of more than 1024 bits.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The Diffie-Hellman group are some big numbers that are used as base for the DH computations. They can be, and often are, fixed. The security of the final secret depends on the size of these parameters. It was found that 512 and 768 bits to be weak, 1024 bits to be breakable by really powerful attackers like governments.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the DHE temporary public key size.Details:SSL/TLS: Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Insufficient DH Group Strength Vulnerab...(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.106223) Version used: 2021-02-12T06:42:15Z

#### References

https://weakdh.org/,https://weakdh.org/sysadmin.html





0/NA

#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 86273391 Packet 2: 86273503

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.23 - fsvr01.myco.com (176.16.1.16 / 00:17:4G:01:07:1B)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
fsvr01.myco.com (176.16.1.16 / 00:17:4G:01:07:1B)	2	0	1	1	5.0

## **Listening Ports**

PORT

3389/tcp, 0/NA





MEDIUM (CVSS: 5)

NVT: SSL/TLS: REPORT WEAK CIPHER SUITES (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103440)

3389/TCP

#### Summary

This routine reports all Weak SSL/TLS cipher suites accepted by a service. NOTE: No severity for SMTP services with 'Opportunistic TLS' and weak cipher suites on port 25/tcp is reported. If too strong cipher suites are configured for this service the alternative would be to fall back to an even more insecure cleartext communication.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.0 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.1 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:T

#### Solution

The configuration of this services should be changed so that it does not accept the listed weak cipher suites anymore. Please see the references for more resources supporting you with this task.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

These rules are applied for the evaluation of the cryptographic strength: - RC4 is considered to be weak (CVE-2013-2566, CVE-2015-2808) - Ciphers using 64 bit or less are considered to be vulnerable to brute force methods and therefore considered as weak (CVE-2015-4000) - 1024 bit RSA authentication is considered to be insecure and therefore as weak - Any cipher considered to be secure for only the next 10 years is considered as medium - Any other cipher is considered as strong

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Details:SSL/TLS: Report Weak Cipher Suites(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103440)Version used: 2021-12-01T13:10:37Z

#### References

https://www.bsi.bund.de/SharedDocs/Warnmeldungen/DE/CB/warnmeldung\_cb-k16-1465\_update\_6.html,https://bettercrypto.org/,https://mozilla.github.io/server-side-tls/ssl-config-generator/



#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 1181101164 Packet 2: 1181102264

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method



Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.24 - sql01.myco.com (176.16.1.17 / 00:17:4G:01:07:1D)

## Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
sql01.myco.com (176.16.1.17 / 00:17:4G:01:07:1D)	2	0	2	1	5.0

## **Listening Ports**

PORT

3389/tcp, 0/NA

# Security Issues



MEDIUM (CVSS: 5) NVT: SSL/TLS: REPORT WEAK CIPHER SUITES (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103440)

3389/TCP

#### Summary

This routine reports all Weak SSL/TLS cipher suites accepted by a service. NOTE: No severity for SMTP services with 'Opportunistic TLS' and weak cipher suites on port 25/tcp is reported. If too strong cipher suites are configured for this service the alternative would be to fall back to an even more insecure cleartext communication.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.0 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.1 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_MD5TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_128\_SHA'Weak' cipher suites accepted by this service via the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_RSA\_N the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_RSA\_N the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_RC4\_RSA\_N the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_RSA\_N the TLSv1.2 protocol:TLS\_

#### Solution

The configuration of this services should be changed so that it does not accept the listed weak cipher suites anymore. Please see the references for more resources supporting you with this task.

#### Vulnerability Insight

These rules are applied for the evaluation of the cryptographic strength: - RC4 is considered to be weak (CVE-2013-2566, CVE-2015-2808) - Ciphers using 64 bit or less are considered to be vulnerable to brute force methods and therefore considered as



weak (CVE-2015-4000) - 1024 bit RSA authentication is considered to be insecure and therefore as weak - Any cipher considered to be secure for only the next 10 years is considered as medium - Any other cipher is considered as strong

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Details:SSL/TLS: Report Weak Cipher Suites(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.103440)Version used: 2021-12-01T13:10:37Z

References

https://www.bsi.bund.de/SharedDocs/Warnmeldungen/DE/CB/warnmeldung\_cb-k16-1465\_update\_6.html,https://bettercrypto.org/,https://mozilla.github.io/server-side-tls/ssl-config-generator/

MEDIUM (CVSS: 4)

NVT: SSL/TLS: DIFFIE-HELLMAN KEY EXCHANGE INSUFFICIENT DH GROUP STRENGTH VULNERABILITY (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.106223)

3389/TCP

#### Summary

The SSL/TLS service uses Diffie-Hellman groups with insufficient strength (key size < 2048).

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Server Temporary Key Size: 1024 bits

#### Impact

An attacker might be able to decrypt the SSL/TLS communication offline.

#### Solution

Deploy (Ephemeral) Elliptic-Curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDHE) or use a 2048-bit or stronger Diffie-Hellman group (see the references). For Apache Web Servers: Beginning with version 2.4.7, mod\_ssl will use DH parameters which include primes with lengths of more than 1024 bits.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The Diffie-Hellman group are some big numbers that are used as base for the DH computations. They can be, and often are, fixed. The security of the final secret depends on the size of these parameters. It was found that 512 and 768 bits to be weak, 1024 bits to be breakable by really powerful attackers like governments.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Checks the DHE temporary public key size.Details:SSL/TLS: Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Insufficient DH Group Strength Vulnerab...(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.106223) Version used: 2021-02-12T06:42:15Z

#### References

https://weakdh.org/,https://weakdh.org/sysadmin.html



LOW (CVSS: 2.6) NVT: TCP TIMESTAMPS (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)

0/NA

#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 110566668Packet 2: 110566784

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution



To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.25 - 176.16.1.71 (F4:8E:38:20:FD:F4)

## Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.71 (F4:8E:38:20:FD:F4)	2	0	1	1	4.8

## Listening Ports

PORT

23/tcp (telnet), 0/NA

### Security Issues



MEDIUM (CVSS: 4.8) NVT: TELNET UNENCRYPTED CLEARTEXT LOGIN (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108522)

23/TCP (TELNET)

#### Summary

The remote host is running a Telnet service that allows cleartext logins over unencrypted connections.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

Vulnerability was detected according to the Vulnerability Detection Method.

#### Impact

An attacker can uncover login names and passwords by sniffing traffic to the Telnet service.

#### Solution

Replace Telnet with a protocol like SSH which supports encrypted connections.

PAGE 63 OF 70



#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Details:Telnet Unencrypted Cleartext Login(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.108522)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z



#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 118201171 Packet 2: 118201281

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.26 - 176.16.1.108 (00:17:4G:01:08:0C)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.108 (00:17:4G:01:08:0C)	1	0	0	1	2.6

## Listening Ports

POR

0/NA



# Security Issues

#### LOW (CVSS: 2.6)

NVT: TCP TIMESTAMPS (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)

0/NA

#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 25620286 Packet 2: 25621409

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.27 - 176.16.1.185 (00:17:4G:01:08:0E)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.185 (00:17:4G:01:08:0E)	1	0	0	1	2.6

# Listening Ports

0/NA





0/NA

#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

LOW (CVSS: 2.6)

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between:Packet 1: 64579195Packet 2: 64579310

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### Vulnerability Detection Method

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported Details: TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091) Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.28 - 176.16.1.186 (00:17:4G:01:08:11)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.186 (00:17:4G:01:08:11)	1	0	0	1	2.6

## **Listening Ports**

0/NA





0/NA

#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 64580154 Packet 2: 64580266

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.29 - 176.16.1.188 (00:17:4G:01:08:1D)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.188 (00:17:4G:01:08:1D)	1	0	0	1	2.6

# Listening Ports

0/NA





0/NA

#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 64580551 Packet 2: 64580663

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.30 - 176.16.1.189 (00:17:4G:01:08:14)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.189 (00:17:4G:01:08:14)	1	0	0	1	2.6

# Listening Ports

0/NA





0/NA

#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### Vulnerability Detection Result

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 64574941 Packet 2: 64575053

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### Vulnerability Insight

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/fc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152

# 2.31 - 176.16.1.208 (00:17:4G:01:07:A0)

### Host Issue Summary

HOST	OPEN PORTS	HIGH	MED	LOW	HIGHEST CVSS
176.16.1.208 (00:17:4G:01:07:A0)	1	0	0	1	2.6

# Listening Ports

0/NA





0/NA

#### Summary

The remote host implements TCP timestamps and therefore allows to compute the uptime.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Result**

It was detected that the host implements RFC1323/RFC7323. The following timestamps were retrieved with a delay of 1 seconds in-between: Packet 1: 64651781 Packet 2: 64651896

#### Impact

A side effect of this feature is that the uptime of the remote host can sometimes be computed.

#### Solution

To disable TCP timestamps on linux add the line 'net.ipv4.tcp\_timestamps = 0' to /etc/sysctl.conf. Execute 'sysctl -p' to apply the settings at runtime. To disable TCP timestamps on Windows execute 'netsh int tcp set global timestamps=disabled' Starting with Windows Server 2008 and Vista, the timestamp can not be completely disabled. The default behavior of the TCP/IP stack on this Systems is to not use the Timestamp options when initiating TCP connections, but use them if the TCP peer that is initiating communication includes them in their synchronize (SYN) segment. See the references for more information.

#### **Vulnerability Insight**

The remote host implements TCP timestamps, as defined by RFC1323/RFC7323.

#### **Vulnerability Detection Method**

Special IP packets are forged and sent with a little delay in between to the target IP. The responses are searched for a timestamps. If found, the timestamps are reported.Details:TCP timestamps(OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.25623.1.0.80091)Version used: 2020-08-24T08:40:10Z

#### References

http://www.ietf.org/fc/rfc1323.txt,http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7323.txt,https://web.archive.org/web/20151213072445/http://www.micr osoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=9152